

SPECIFYING RELATIONSHIPS: ANSWERS AND DISCUSSION

Note. Some students regressed and did not *identify and name variables* in an appropriate fashion (i.e., they forget the lessons of Problem Set #3A), which often meant that their answers could not be evaluated since pos/neg depends on the names). Also some students were insufficiently explicit in specifying the *independent* vs. *dependent* variable.

INDEPENDENT VARIABLE $\xrightarrow{+/-}$ DEPENDENT VARIABLE

** word or phrase (if any) that indicates direction of causality, i.e., which (independent) variable influences the other (dependent) variable, and may also indicate direction of association, e.g., positive or negative. *Remember:* the positive vs. negative distinction does not apply (NA) if the two variables do not have “matching values.”

1. AMOUNT OF SENIORITY $\xrightarrow{+}$ DEGREE OF PRAGMATISM

** not explicit, and one could argue the reverse

2. AMOUNT OF EDUCATION $\xrightarrow{-}$ LEVEL OF RELIGIOSITY

** “undermines” (negative causal effect)

3. CAPITAL PUNISHMENT? (Y/N) $\xrightarrow{-}$ MURDER RATE

or

REL. FREQUENCY OF
CAPITAL PUNISHMENT $\xrightarrow{-}$ MURDER RATE

** “deters” (negative causal effect)

4. COMPETITIVENESS
OF DISTRICT $\xrightarrow{+}$ RESPONSIVENESS OF
MEMBER

** “makes” (positive causal effect)

5. LEVEL OF PRESIDENT'S
APPROVAL RATING $\xrightarrow{+}$ LEVEL OF PRESIDENT'S
REELECTION VOTE

** “boosts” (positive causal effect)

6. AMOUNT OF STUDYING $\xrightarrow{+}$ LEVEL OF GPA

** “makes for” (positive causal effect)

7. CLOSENESS OF ELECTION $\underline{\quad + \quad} >$ LEVEL OF TURNOUT
or
 MARGIN OF VICTORY $\underline{\quad - \quad} >$ LEVEL OF TURNOUT
 ** “stimulates” (“closeness” has positive causal effect; “margin of victory” is the reverse of “closeness”)
8. LEVEL OF BADNESS OF ECONOMIC TIMES $\underline{\quad - \quad} >$ RE-ELECTION RATE OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES
 ** “punished” (negative causal effect)
9. GOODNESS OF SLEEP HABITS $\underline{\quad + \quad} >$ LEVEL OF SUCCESS
 ** “makes” (positive causal effect)
10. EAT APPLE A DAY? (Y/N) $\underline{\quad - \quad} >$ NUMBER OF DOCTOR VISITS
or
 GOODNESS OF DIET $\underline{\quad + \quad} >$ LEVEL OF HEALTH
 ** “keeps away” (negative causal effect; “number of doctor visits” is a negative indicator of “level of health”)
11. AMOUNT OF EDUCATION $\underline{\quad + \quad} >$ LEVEL OF SUCCESS
 ** “if, then” (positive causal effect)
12. IDEOLOGY OF GOVERNMENT $\underline{\quad NA \quad} >$ RATE OF INFLATION
or
 DEGREE OF LEFTISM $\underline{\quad + \quad} >$ RATE OF INFLATION
or
 DEGREE OF CONSERVATISM $\underline{\quad - \quad} >$ RATE OF INFLATION
 ** “bring about” (IDEOLOGY is not LO / HI)
13. LEVEL OF POLITICAL INTEREST $\underline{\quad + \quad} >$ VOTE? (Y/N)
 ** implicit and plausible positive causal effect

14. DIRECTION OF IDEOLOGY NA > VOTE CHOICE
or
 DEGREE OF LIBERALISM + > DEGREE OF DEM VOTING
or
 DEGREE OF LIBERALISM - > DEGREE OF REP VOTING
or
 DEGREE OF CONSERVATISM + > DEGREE OF DEM VOTING
or
 DEGREE OF CONSERVATISM - > DEGREE OF REP VOTING
 ** implicit and plausible
15. LEVEL OF DISSATISFACTION + > PROPENSITY TO VOTE
 WITH ECONOMY AGAINST INCUMBENTS
 ** “leads to” (positive causal effect)
16. TYPE OF ELECTORAL NA > TYPE OF PARTY SYSTEM
 SYSTEM (Maj., Prop.) (two-party, multi-party)
or
 DEGREE OF PROPORTIONALITY + > NUMBER OF POLITICAL OF
 IN ELECTORAL SYSTEM PARTIES
 ** “results in,” “produces” (nominal variables)
17. TIME/YEAR - > STRENGTH OF PARTY ID
 ** “weakened” — cause and effect not explicit, but presumably nothing can affect the passage of time
18. LEGISLATIVE SIZE - > LEGISLATIVE
 EFFECTIVENESS
 ** “reduces” (negative causal effect)
19. IMPOSITION OF TERM LIMITS (Y/N) - > LEGISLATIVE EFFECTIVENESS
 ** “reduces” (negative causal effect)
20. SAMPLE SIZE - > MARGIN OF SAMPLING ERROR
 ** margin of error is a consequences of sample size, not *vice versa*

OVER =>

21. FATHER'S HEIGHT + > SON'S HEIGHT

** “produce” [through genetic inheritance] (positive causal effect)

Note 1. Ordinarily, if the direction of association is to be characterized as positive or negative, *both* variables must be named in such a way that a range of values from LO to HI is suggested. (In any case, the values of the two variable must be comparable or “matching” or “in the same currency.”) If a dichotomous variable has “yes / no” values, “no” is usually considered LO and “yes” HI; e.g. #3, 10, and 13.

Note 2. Remember that a positive or negative sign will change if you change the “polarity” of one variable, e.g., different versions of #12 & 14.

Note 3. Some people said (or implied) that, if the two variables did not have “matching values,” there was no relationship or association between the two variables. This is a misstatement: there may be a very substantial association between such variables — the point is that the *direction of this association* cannot be summarized in *positive vs. negative terms* but must rather be explicitly specified (as in #14, there is a strong association between IDEOLOGY and VOTING CHOICE — namely, liberals vote mostly Democratic and conservatives mostly Republican).