On the game-theoretic value of a linear transformation on a symmetric cone

M. Seetharama Gowda

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Baltimore, Maryland, USA

gowda@umbc.edu

Jordan Geometric Analysis and Applications

London, September 5, 2014

Outline

- Zero-sum matrix games
- Dynamical systems
- Euclidean Jordan algebras
- z and Lyapunov-like transformations
- Value of a linear transformation on a EJA
- Completely mixed games
- Value of a z-transformation
- Value of a Lyapunov-like transformation
- Value inequalities
- Concluding remarks

Zero-sum game and value of a matrix

Consider two players I and II with payoff matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Player I chooses columns of A with probability/strategy x:

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \ge 0, \sum_{1}^n x_i = 1;$$

Player II chooses rows of A with probability/strategy y.

Then payoff for I is $\langle Ax, y \rangle$ and payoff for II is $-\langle Ax, y \rangle$.

Theorem of von Neumann: There exist optimal/equilibrium strategies \bar{x} and \bar{y} such that

$$\langle Ax, \bar{y} \rangle \le \langle A\bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle \le \langle A\bar{x}, y \rangle$$

for all strategies x and y.

 $v(A) := \langle A\bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle$ is called the value of the game.

Min-max Theorem of von Neumann:

$$\max_{x \in \Delta} \min_{y \in \Delta} \langle Ax, y \rangle = \min_{y \in \Delta} \max_{x \in \Delta} \langle Ax, y \rangle,$$

where
$$\Delta := \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \geq 0, \sum_{1}^n x_i = 1\}.$$

The common value is v(A).

A zero-sum matrix game is a special case of Bimatrix game.

A bimatrix game is a special case of (standard) linear complementarity problem.

Uniqueness of optimal strategies

A game is completely mixed if $\bar{x} > 0$ and $\bar{y} > 0$ for every optimal pair (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) .

Theorem of Kaplansky (1945):

- (i) If $\bar{y} > 0$ for every optimal pair (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) , then the game is completely mixed.
- (ii) If the game is completely mixed, then the optimal pair is unique.

Z-matrices

A square real matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ is a **z**-matrix

if $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

(In Economics, -A is called a Metzler matrix.)

Theorem of Raghavan (1978):

Let A be a z-matrix.

- (i) If v(A) > 0, then the game is completely mixed.
- (ii) v(A) > 0 iff there exists d > 0 in \mathbb{R}^n such that Ad > 0.

Note: For a **z**-matrix, the condition d > 0, Ad > 0 can be described in more than 52 equivalent ways.

Dynamical systems

For an $n \times n$ real matrix A, the continuous dynamical system $\frac{dx}{dt} + Ax(t) = 0$ is asymptotically stable on R^n (i.e., any trajectory starting from an arbitrary point in R^n converges to the origin) if and only if there exists a real symmetric matrix D such that

$$D \succ 0$$
 and $L_A(D) \succ 0$,

where $D \succ 0$ means that D is positive definite, and

$$L_A(X) := AX + XA^T \quad (X \in \mathcal{S}^n).$$

Here, S^n denotes the space of all $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices and L_A is the so-called *Lyapunov transformation*.

Similarly, the discrete dynamical system x(k+1) = Ax(k), $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, is asymptotically stable on R^n if and only if there exists a real symmetric matrix D such that

$$D \succ 0$$
 and $S_A(D) \succ 0$,

where S_A is the so-called *Stein transformation* on S^n :

$$S_A(X) := X - AXA^T \quad (X \in \mathcal{S}^n).$$

Note similar inequalities:

- In \mathbb{R}^n , d > 0, Ad > 0 for a **z**-matrix
- In S^n , $D \succ 0$ and $L_A(D) \succ 0$
- In S^n , $D \succ 0$ and $S_A(D) \succ 0$

Why is this happening? Is there a unifying result?

- R^n and S^n are both Euclidean Jordan algebras,
- In R^n , let $e=(1,1,\ldots,1)$. Then $x=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$ is a probability vector iff all its eigenvalues x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n are nonnegative and $\langle x,e\rangle=\sum_1^n x_i=1$.

In S^n , let e = I (Identity matrix). We could consider $X \in S^n$ with all its eigenvalues nonnegative and $\langle X, I \rangle = trace(X) = \sum_{1}^{n} \lambda_i(X) = 1$.

Z-transformations

• In \mathbb{R}^n , let $K=\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Then $A\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ is a **z**-matrix iff

$$x \in K, y \in K^*(=K), \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle Ax, y \rangle \leq 0.$$

• In S^n , let $K = S^n_+$ (symmetric cone of S^n).

Then, for any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,

$$X \in K, Y \in K^*(=K), \text{ and } \langle X, Y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle L_A(X), Y \rangle = 0.$$

• In S^n , let $K = S^n_+$. Then, for any $A \in R^{n \times n}$,

$$X \in K, Y \in K^*(=K), \text{ and } \langle X, Y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle S_A(X), Y \rangle \leq 0.$$

Euclidean Jordan algebras

A Euclidean Jordan algebra is a triple $(V, \circ, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a finite dimensional real inner product space and $(x,y)\mapsto x\circ y:V\times V\to V$ is a bilinear mapping satisfying the following conditions:

(i)
$$x \circ y = y \circ x$$
, $x \circ (x^2 \circ y) = x^2 \circ (x \circ y)$, and

(ii)
$$\langle x \circ y, z \rangle = \langle y, x \circ z \rangle$$
.

Examples: The Jordan spin algebra \mathcal{L}^n , the algebra(s) of $n \times n$ real/complex/quaternion Hermitian matrices, and the algebra of 3×3 octonion Hermitian matrices. Any nonzero EJA is a product of these.

Let V be a EJA and K be its symmetric cone. A linear transformation $L:V\to V$ is

a z-transformation if

$$x \in K, y \in K^*(=K), \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle L(x), y \rangle \leq 0,$$

Lyapunov-like if

$$x \in K, y \in K^*(=K), \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle L(x), y \rangle = 0.$$

Theorem: On a EJA V, L is Lyapunov-like iff

$$L = L_a + D,$$

where $a \in V$, $L_a(x) := a \circ x$ and D is a derivation.

How to define the value on a EJA?

Can we extend the results of Kaplansky and Raghavan?

From now on, V is an EJA with its symmetric cone K.

e is the unit element in V and

$$\Delta := \{ x \in K : \langle x, e \rangle = 1 \}.$$

We think of Δ as the strategy set. Also, instead of the unit element, we could take any $e \in int(K)$.)

Given a linear transformation $L:V\to V$, as Δ is compact convex, by a Theorem of von Neumann, there exist optimal strategies \bar{x} and \bar{y} such that

$$\langle L(x), \bar{y} \rangle \le \langle L(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \le \langle L(\bar{x}), y \rangle$$

for all strategies $x, y \in \Delta$.

 $v(L):=\langle L(\bar{x}),\bar{y}\rangle$ is called the value of L.

We have

$$v(L) = \max_{x \in \Delta} \, \min_{y \in \Delta} \langle L(x), y \rangle = \min_{y \in \Delta} \, \max_{x \in \Delta} \langle L(x), y \rangle.$$

We write $x \ge 0$ when $x \in K$ and x > 0 when $x \in int(K)$. Let L be linear on V.

Theorem:

- (i) (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) is an optimal pair iff $L^T(\bar{y}) \leq v e \leq L(\bar{x})$.
- (ii) If (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) is an optimal pair, then

$$0 \le \bar{x} \perp v e - L^T(\bar{y}) \ge 0$$
 and $0 \le \bar{y} \perp L(\bar{x}) - v e \ge 0$,

In addition, \bar{x} and $L^T(\bar{y})$ operator commute and \bar{y} and $L(\bar{x})$ operator commute.

Corollary:

$$(i) \ v(-L^T) = -v(L).$$

(ii)
$$v(L + \lambda ee^T) = v(L) + \lambda$$
.

(iii) For any $A \in Aut(K)$, and $e \in int(K)$,

$$v(ALA^T, Ae) = v(L, e).$$

Note that as K is homogeneous, one could go from one interior point of K to another. Thus, for many properties, chosen interior point e is unimportant.

We say that L is completely mixed if

 $\bar{x} > 0$ and $\bar{y} > 0$ for every optimal pair (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) .

An EJA generalization of Kaplansky's Theorem:

(i) If $\bar{y} > 0$ for every optimal pair (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) ,

then L is completely mixed.

(ii) If L is completely mixed, then the optimal pair is unique.

(iii) If L is completely mixed, then so is L^T and

$$v(L) = v(L^T).$$

An EJA generalization of Raghavan's Theorem:

The following are equivalent when L is a **z**-transformation:

- $(i) \ v(L) > 0.$
- (ii) L is positive stable (real parts of eigenvalues of L are positive).
- (ii) There exists d > 0 such that L(d) > 0.

In addition, L is completely mixed when v(L) > 0.

What happens when v(L) < 0?

Easy examples show that a **z**-transformation L may not be completely mixed when v(L) < 0. However, we have Theorem:

When L is Lyapunov-like (or Stein-like*) and $v(L) \neq 0$, L is completely mixed.

L is said to be Stein-like if $L=I-\Lambda$, where $\Lambda\in\overline{Aut(K)}$. Example: The Stein transformation S_A on \mathcal{S}^n given by $S_A(X)=X-AXA^T.$

Value inequalities

Let $V = V_1 \times V_2$ with $K = K_1 \times K_2$.

Let L be linear on V. We write

$$L = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right],$$

where $A:V_1\to V_1$ is linear, etc. If A is invertible, we define the Schur complement

$$L/A := D - CA^{-1}B.$$

Theorem: Suppose L is a **z**-transformation.

Then A is \mathbf{Z} on V_1 and D is \mathbf{Z} on V_2 .

(i) If v(L) > 0, then v(A) > 0, v(D) > 0 and

$$\frac{1}{v(L)} \ge \frac{1}{v(A)} + \frac{1}{v(D)}.$$

Reverse implications and inequalities hold if L is Lypaunov-like.

(ii) If v(L) > 0, then v(A) > 0, v(L/A) > 0 and

$$\frac{1}{v(L)} \ge \frac{1}{v(A)} + \frac{1}{v(L/A)}.$$

Concluding remarks

- (i) The value of a linear transformation on a EJA can be computed by a (symmetric) cone linear program in polynomial time.
- (ii) Let L be completely mixed. If L is invertible, then, $v(L)=\frac{1}{\langle L^{-1}(e),e\rangle}.$

Also, the unique optimal pair is given by

$$\bar{x} = v(L) L^{-1}(e)$$
 and $\bar{y} = v(L) (L^T)^{-1}(e)$.

(iii) Many of the results presented here carry over to self-dual cones.

Some references

- Berman and Plemmons, Nonnegative matrices in Mathematical Sciences.
- (2) Faraut and Koranyi, Analysis on symmetric cones.
- (3) Kaplansky, A contribution to von Neumann's theory of games.
- (4) Karlin, Mathematical methods and theory in games.
- (5) Parthasarathy and Raghavan, Some topics in two-person games.
- (6) Raghavan, Completely mixed games and M-matrices.