

On the non-homogeneity and the bilinearity rank of a completely positive cone

M. Seetharama Gowda

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

University of Maryland, Baltimore County

Baltimore, Maryland

gowda@math.umbc.edu

ISMP - Berlin

August 23, 2012

This is joint work with
Roman Sznajder
Department of Mathematics
Bowie State University
Bowie, Maryland, USA

Research Report available at
www.math.umbc.edu/~gowda.

Definition of completely positive cone

Consider \mathbb{R}^n with the usual inner product.

\mathcal{C} in \mathbb{R}^n is a closed cone that is not necessarily convex.

\mathcal{S}^n is the set of all $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices.

The completely positive cone of \mathcal{C} is

$$\mathcal{K} := \left\{ \sum uu^T : u \in \mathcal{C} \right\}.$$

The copositive cone of \mathcal{C} is:

$$\mathcal{E} := \{A \in \mathcal{S}^n : A \text{ is copositive on } \mathcal{C}\}.$$

A copositive on \mathcal{C} means: $x^T Ax \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

When $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}_+^n$ (semidefinite cone).

When $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, \mathcal{K} is the cone of completely positive matrices and \mathcal{E} is the cone of copositive matrices.

Burer (2009):

Any nonconvex quadratic minimization problem over the nonnegative orthant with linear and binary constraints can be reformulated as a linear program over the cone of completely positive matrices.

Eichfelder and Povh (2011):

Any nonconvex quadratic minimization problem over a nonempty set with linear and binary constraints can be reformulated as a linear program over a completely positive cone.

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^T M x + 2c^T x \\ \text{such that} \quad & Ax = b, \\ & x_j \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for all } j \in J, \\ & x \in S \end{aligned}$$

Reformulation in \mathcal{S}^{n+1} :

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \langle \widehat{M}, Y \rangle \\ & L(Y) = B \\ & Y \in \mathcal{K}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c^T \\ c & M \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{closure} \left\{ \sum_k \lambda_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_k \end{pmatrix}^T : \lambda_k \geq 0, x_k \in S \right\}.$$

Since,

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \sum uu^T : u \in \overline{\text{cone}(\{1\} \times S)} \right\},$$

this is a linear program over the completely positive cone of $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\text{cone}(\{1\} \times S)}$.

Motivated by the good properties of the semidefinite cone, we ask if a completely positive cone can be

- **self-dual**
- **irreducible**
- **homogeneous.**

Our results

For any closed cone \mathcal{C} in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$\mathcal{K} := \{ \sum uu^T : u \in \mathcal{C} \}$ denotes the completely positive cone of \mathcal{C} .

We show

- \mathcal{K} is self-dual if and only if $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{C} \cup -\mathcal{C}$.
- \mathcal{S}_+^n is the only self-dual completely positive cone.
- When \mathcal{C} has nonempty interior, \mathcal{K} is irreducible.
- When \mathcal{C} is a proper convex cone, \mathcal{K} is non-homogeneous.
(\mathcal{C} proper means: \mathcal{C} is convex, pointed and $\text{int}(\mathcal{C}) \neq \emptyset$.)

Some preliminary results

- $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_+^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}$.
- \mathcal{K} is pointed, that is, $\mathcal{K} \cap -\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$.
- \mathcal{E} is the dual of \mathcal{K} .
- If $\text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ is nonempty, then \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{E} are proper.
- $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{K}) = \{uu^T : 0 \neq u \in \mathcal{C}\}$.
- $\text{int}(\mathcal{K}) = \{\sum u_i u_i^T : u_i \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C}), \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_n\} = \mathbb{R}^n\}$.

Gowda-Sznajder-Tao 2012: Suppose \mathcal{C} is a proper cone. Then every automorphism of \mathcal{K} is of the form

$$L(X) = QXQ^T \quad (X \in \mathcal{S}^n)$$

for some automorphism Q of \mathcal{C} .

Self-duality

Theorem: \mathcal{K} is self-dual if and only if $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{C} \cup -\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. If $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{C} \cup -\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{S}_+^n$ is self-dual.

If \mathcal{K} is self-dual, then $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_+^n \subseteq \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{S}_+^n$.

Now, for any nonzero $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, xx^T is an extreme direction of $\mathcal{S}_+^n = \mathcal{K}$.

By a known characterization, $xx^T = uu^T$ for some $u \in \mathcal{C}$.

But then, $x = \pm u$. So, $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{C} \cup -\mathcal{C}$.

Irreducibility

A closed cone K in \mathcal{S}^n is **reducible** if there exist nonzero closed cones K_1 and K_2 and subspaces H_1 and H_2 such that $K_1 \subseteq H_1$, $K_2 \subseteq H_2$, with

$$K = K_1 + K_2, \quad \mathcal{S}^n = H_1 + H_2, \quad \text{and} \quad H_1 \cap H_2 = \{0\}.$$

If K is not reducible, we say that it is *irreducible*.

Example. In \mathbb{R}^2 , consider the standard unit vectors e_1 and e_2 and let $\mathcal{C} = \{\lambda e_1, \mu e_2 : \lambda, \mu \geq 0\}$.

The corresponding completely positive cone is

$\mathcal{K} = \{\lambda e_1 e_1^T + \mu e_2 e_2^T : \lambda, \mu \geq 0\}$. This is reducible.

Theorem: *If \mathcal{C} has nonempty interior, then \mathcal{K} is irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{C} has nonempty interior and \mathcal{K} is reducible; let K_i and H_i be as above.

For any $0 \neq u \in \mathcal{C}$, uu^T is an extreme vector of \mathcal{K} .

If $uu^T = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_i \in K_i \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, we must have $x_1 = uu^T$ (say) and $x_2 = 0$.

Then, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$, where

$$\mathcal{C}_1 := \{u \in \mathcal{C} : uu^T \in K_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_2 := \{u \in \mathcal{C} : uu^T \in K_2\}.$$

Baire category theorem implies \mathcal{C}_1 (say) has interior.

Then the corresponding completely positive cone \mathcal{K}_1 is proper and so $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{S}^n$. As $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq K_1$, we must have $K_1 - K_1 = \mathcal{S}^n$.

Then, $H_1 = \mathcal{S}^n$ and $H_2 = \{0\}$, a contradiction.

Non-homogeneity

A cone K (with interior) in \mathbb{R}^n or \mathcal{S}^n is said to be **homogeneous** if for any $x, y \in \text{int}(K)$, there is an $L \in \text{Aut}(K)$ such that $L(x) = y$.

- A self-dual homogeneous cone is a **symmetric cone**.
- Every such cone arises as the cone of squares in a Euclidean Jordan algebra.

\mathbb{R}_+^n , \mathcal{S}_+^n , second order cone are examples of symmetric cones.

Theorem: *If \mathcal{C} is a proper cone in \mathbb{R}^n ($n > 1$), then*

\mathcal{K} cannot be homogeneous.

Sketch of the proof. Suppose \mathcal{K} is homogeneous.

Pick two bases $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and $\{v, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$.

in $\text{int}(\mathcal{C})$. Define $X := u_1 u_1^T + u_2 u_2^T + \dots + u_n u_n^T$ and

$Y_k := v v^T + \frac{1}{k}(u_2 u_2^T + \dots + u_n u_n^T)$. These are in $\text{int}(\mathcal{K})$.

There exist $L_k \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ of the form $L_k(X) = Q_k X Q_k^T$

with $Q_k \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $Q_k X Q_k^T = L_k(X) = Y_k$. So, for all k ,

$$Q_k(u_1 u_1^T + u_2 u_2^T + \dots + u_n u_n^T) Q_k^T = v v^T + \frac{1}{k}(u_2 u_2^T + \dots + u_n u_n^T).$$

Case 1: Q_k unbounded. A normalization argument leads to

$$Q(u_1 u_1^T + u_2 u_2^T + \cdots + u_n u_n^T) Q^T = 0$$

and to a contradiction.

Case 2: Q_k bounded. Taking appropriate limits,

$$Q(u_1 u_1^T + u_2 u_2^T + \cdots + u_n u_n^T) Q^T = vv^T.$$

As $vv^T \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{K})$, we must have $Qu_i = \lambda_i v$ for all i .

Then Q has rank one,....

Bilinearity relations

Let K be a proper cone in \mathbb{R}^n .

The optimality conditions for a primal-dual cone-linear program on K are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= b \\ A^T y + s &= c \\ x &\in K, s \in K^*, \langle x, s \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

To make the above system square, it is desirable to have n or more independent bilinear relations describing the complementarity condition.

Bilinearity rank of a cone

Let

$$C(K) := \{(x, s) : x \in K, s \in K^*, \langle x, s \rangle = 0\}.$$

Rudolf, Noyan, Papp, and Alizadeh, 2011:

An $n \times n$ matrix Q is called a *bilinearity relation* on K if

$$(x, s) \in C(K) \Rightarrow x^T Q s = 0.$$

The **bilinearity rank** of K is:

$\beta(K)$ = Dimension of the space of all bilinearity relations.

This notion can be extended to a proper cone in a real Hilbert space.

Lyapunov-like transformations

Let H be a finite dimensional real Hilbert space,
 K be a proper cone in H .

Gowda-Sznajder, 2007:

A linear transformation L on H is **Lyapunov-like** on K
if $x \in K, y \in K^*, \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle L(x), y \rangle = 0$.

Thus, L is Lyapunov-like on K iff L^T is a bilinearity
relation on K and

$\beta(K)$ =Dimension of the space of all Lyapunov-like
transformations on K .

Examples

Example 1: On \mathbb{R}_+^n , a matrix is Lyapunov-like iff it is a diagonal matrix.

Example 2: On \mathcal{S}_+^n , L is Lyapunov-like iff it is of the form $L_A(X) = AX + XA^T$ ($X \in \mathcal{S}^n$) for some $A \in R^{n \times n}$.

Example 3: On a Euclidean Jordan algebra, L is Lyapunov-like if and only if $L = L_a + D$, where $L_a(x) := a \circ x$ and D is a derivation.

Thanks to a result of **Schneider-Vidyasagar, 1970**,

The following are equivalent:

- L is Lyapunov-like on K .
- $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- L belongs to the Lie algebra of the group $\text{Aut}(K)$.

Thus, for any proper cone K ,

$$\beta(K) = \dim(\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(K))).$$

simple symmetric cones

Gowda-Tao 2011:

$Herm(V)$ – Hermitian matrices in V and
 K — corresponding symmetric cone.

- (i) In $Herm(R^{n \times n})$, $\beta(K) = n^2$.
- (ii) In $Herm(C^{n \times n})$, $\beta(K) = 2n^2 - 1$.
- (iii) In $Herm(Q^{n \times n})$, $\beta(K) = 4n^2$.
- (iv) In $Herm(O^{3 \times 3})$, $\beta(K) = 79$.
- (v) In \mathcal{L}^n , $\beta(K) = \frac{n^2 - n + 2}{2}$.

completely positive cone

For a proper cone \mathcal{C} in \mathbb{R}^n , let \mathcal{K} be the corresponding completely positive cone in \mathcal{S}^n .

Gowda-Sznajder-Tao 2012: Every Lyapunov-like transformation on \mathcal{K} is of the form L_A , where $L_A(X) := AX + XA^T$ and A is Lyapunov-like on \mathcal{C} .

Since $A \mapsto L_A$ is an isomorphism,

$$\beta(\mathcal{K}) = \beta(\mathcal{C}).$$

Example: Let $C = \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

Then \mathcal{K} is the cone of completely positive matrices.

Since a matrix is Lyapunov-like on \mathbb{R}_+^n if and only if it is a diagonal matrix, it follows that $\beta(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = n$.

Thus, the bilinearity rank of the cone of completely positive matrices is n .

Note that the dimension of \mathcal{S}^n is $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Results for the copositive cone

Recall: \mathcal{E} is the copositive cone of \mathcal{C} .

- (i) \mathcal{E} is self-dual if and only if $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{C} \cup -\mathcal{C}$.
- (ii) If \mathcal{C} has nonempty interior, then \mathcal{E} is irreducible.
- (iii) If \mathcal{C} is a proper cone in \mathbb{R}^n ($n > 1$),
then \mathcal{E} is not homogeneous.

References:

- (1) S. Burer, On the copositive representation of binary and continuous nonconvex quadratic programs, Math. Prog. A, 2009.
- (2) G. Eichfelder and J. Povh, On the set-semidefinite representation of nonconvex quadratic programs over arbitrary feasible sets, Tech Report, 2010.
- (3) Gowda-Sznajder, Some global uniqueness and solvability results for LCPs over symmetric cones, SIAM Opt. 2007.

- (4) Gowda-Sznajder-Tao, The automorphism group of a completely positive cone, LAA, 2012.
- (5) Gowda-Tao, Bilinearity rank of a proper cone..., Tech Report, Dec. 2011.
- (6) Rudolf, Noyan, Papp, and Alizadeh, Bilinearity optimality conditions..., Math Prog., 2011.
- (7) Schneider-Vidyasagar, Cross-positive matrices, SIAM Numer. Anal., 1970.