

ON COPOSITIVE AND COMPLETELY POSITIVE CONES, AND $\mathbf{Z}$ -TRANSFORMATIONS*

M. SEETHARAMA GOWDA[†]

Abstract. A well-known result of Lyapunov on continuous linear systems asserts that a real square matrix A is positive stable if and only if for some symmetric positive definite matrix X , $AX + XA^T$ is also positive definite. A recent result of Moldovan-Gowda says that a $\mathbf{Z}$ -matrix A is positive stable if and only if for some symmetric strictly copositive matrix X , $AX + XA^T$ is also strictly copositive. In this paper, these results are unified/extended by replacing $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ by a closed convex cone $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$. This is achieved by relating the $\mathbf{Z}$ -property of a matrix on this cone with the $\mathbf{Z}$ -property of the corresponding Lyapunov transformation $L_A(X) := AX + XA^T$ on the completely positive cone of $\mathcal{C}$ and the $\mathbf{Z}$ -property of L_{A^T} on the copositive cone of $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathcal{S}^n$ (the space of all real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices). A similar analysis is carried out for the Stein transformation $S_A(X) = X - AXA^T$.

Key words. Copositive matrix, Copositive and completely positive cones, $\mathbf{Z}$ -transformation, Lyapunov and Stein transformations.

AMS subject classifications. 15A48, 34A30, 34D23, 17B45.

1. Introduction. Given a closed convex cone K in a real finite dimensional Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, and a linear transformation L on H , we say that L has the $\mathbf{Z}$ -property on K (or that it is a $\mathbf{Z}$ -transformation on K) and write $L \in \mathbf{Z}(K)$ if

$$(1.1) \quad [x \in K, y \in K^*, \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle = 0] \Rightarrow \langle L(x), y \rangle \leq 0,$$

where K^* denotes the dual cone of K . As a generalization of a $\mathbf{Z}$ -matrix (which is a real square matrix with nonpositive off-diagonal entries), such transformations were introduced in [19] in the form of cross-positive matrices. $\mathbf{Z}$ -matrices/transformations have numerous properties and appear in many areas, e.g., see [3], [13]. Our motivation for this article comes from dynamical systems. Consider $\mathcal{S}^n$, the space of all $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices, with the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{trace}(XY)$ and the cone $\mathcal{S}_+^n$ of all positive semidefinite matrices in $\mathcal{S}^n$. Then for any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the *Lyapunov transformation* L_A and *Stein transformation* S_A , respectively defined on $\mathcal{S}^n$ by

$$L_A(X) := AX + XA^T \quad \text{and} \quad S_A(X) := X - AXA^T,$$

*Received by the editors on April 15, 2011. Accepted for publication on November 20, 2011.
 Handling Editor: Bit-Shun Tam.

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland 21250, USA (gowda@math.umbc.edu).

are $\mathbf{Z}$ -transformations on $\mathcal{S}_+^n$ [13]. These transformations have been well studied in dynamical systems theory, starting from Lyapunov's paper [16] on continuous dynamical systems and Stein's paper [22] on discrete dynamical systems. The celebrated result of Lyapunov deals with the stability of the linear system $\dot{x} + Ax = 0$, and, in particular with the equivalence of the following statements [7]:

- (i) The system $\dot{x} + Ax = 0$ is asymptotically stable in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (which means that the trajectory of the system from any starting point in $\mathbb{R}^n$ converges to the origin as $t \rightarrow \infty$).
- (ii) A is positive stable (that is, all eigenvalues of A lie in the open right-half plane).
- (iii) There exists $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$ such that X and $L_A(X)$ are positive definite.
- (iv) For every positive definite $Y \in \mathcal{S}^n$, the equation $L_A(X) = Y$ has a (unique) positive definite solution X in $\mathcal{S}^n$.

For a discrete system of the form $x(k+1) = Ax(k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, similar equivalent statements can be made by replacing the positive stability of A with Schur stability of A (which means that all eigenvalues of A lie in the open unit disk) and L_A by S_A .

Now consider a linear system $\dot{x} + Ax = 0$ whose trajectories are constrained to lie in the nonnegative orthant $\mathbb{R}_+^n$. It is well known that this can happen if and only if A is a $\mathbf{Z}$ -matrix. Analogous to Lyapunov's result, we have the equivalence of the following when A is a $\mathbf{Z}$ -matrix:

- (i) The system $\dot{x} + Ax = 0$ is asymptotically stable in $\mathbb{R}_+^n$.
- (ii) A is positive stable.
- (iii) There exists $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$ such that X and $L_A(X)$ are strictly copositive on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$.
- (iv) For every $Y \in \mathcal{S}^n$ that is strictly copositive on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$, the equation $L_A(X) = Y$ has a (unique) strictly copositive solution X in $\mathcal{S}^n$.
- (v) There exists a vector $d > 0$ (i.e., d belongs to the interior of $\mathbb{R}_+^n$) such that $Ad > 0$.

Here, the strict copositivity (copositivity) of X on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ is defined by: $x^T X x > 0$ (≥ 0), for all $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. The equivalence of Items (i), (ii), and (v) is well known in the literature, e.g., see [17]. The new items (iii) and (iv) were proved by Moldovan and Gowda [18] by relying on the equivalence of the following statements for any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$:

- (a) A is a $\mathbf{Z}$ -matrix.
- (b) L_A has the $\mathbf{Z}$ -property on the cone of completely positive matrices in $\mathcal{S}^n$.
- (c) L_{A^T} has the $\mathbf{Z}$ -property on the cone of copositive matrices in $\mathcal{S}^n$.

In a recent article [5], Bundfuss and Dür raise the question of studying the dynamics of $\dot{x} + Ax = 0$ which is constrained to a (polyhedral) cone K by asking for the existence

of a symmetric matrix X that is strictly copositive on K for which $AX + XA^T$ is also strictly copositive on K . Motivated by the similarities in the above results of Lyapunov and Moldovan-Gowda, and the question of Bundfuss and Dür, in this paper, we present a unifying result (Theorem 3.8) by relating the **Z**-property of a matrix A on a closed convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the **Z**-property of L_A (L_{A^T}) on the corresponding completely positive cone (respectively, copositive cone) in $\mathcal{S}^n$.

Consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the usual inner product. Given a closed convex cone $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with dual $\mathcal{C}^*$, we consider two related cones in $\mathcal{S}^n$: The *copositive cone* of $\mathcal{C}$ defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{E} = \text{copos}(\mathcal{C}) := \{A \in \mathcal{S}^n : A \text{ copositive on } \mathcal{C}\}$$

and the *completely positive cone* of $\mathcal{C}$ defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{K} = \text{compos}(\mathcal{C}) := \{BB^T : \text{columns of } B \text{ belong to } \mathcal{C}\}.$$

When $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$, these two cones reduce to $\mathcal{S}_+^n$ which is the underlying cone in semidefinite programming and semidefinite linear complementarity problems [1], [10], [11]. In the case of $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, these cones reduce, respectively, to the cones of copositive matrices and completely positive matrices which have appeared prominently in statistical and graph theoretic literature [4] and (recently) in the study of (combinatorial) optimization problems [6], [8].

With the notation $L \in \mathbf{Z}(K)$ to mean that the transformation L has the **Z**-property on K and $L \in \Pi(K)$ to mean that $L(K) \subseteq K$, we show in this article (see Theorems 3.3 and 5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C}) &\Rightarrow L_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K}) \Leftrightarrow L_{A^T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}) \quad \text{and} \\ A \in \Pi(\mathcal{C}) &\Rightarrow S_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K}) \Leftrightarrow S_{A^T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}). \end{aligned}$$

These results, along with the properties of **Z**-transformations, will allow us to extend the results of Lyapunov and Moldovan-Gowda, and (partially) answer the question of Bundfuss and Dür.

Here is an outline of the paper. Section 2 deals with the preliminaries. The **Z**-property of L_A is covered in Section 3 and that of S_A is covered in Section 5. In Section 4, we study Lyapunov-like transformations. Finally, Section 6 deals with some results relating **Z**-property, cone spectrum, and copositivity.

2. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper, H denotes a finite dimensional real Hilbert space with inner product given by $\langle x, y \rangle$. For a set K in H , K° and $K^\perp$ denote, respectively, the interior and orthogonal complement of K . For a closed convex cone K in H the dual is given by

$$K^* := \{y \in H : \langle y, x \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall x \in K\}.$$

We use the notation

$$K \ni x \perp y \in K^* \text{ to mean that } x \in K, y \in K^*, \text{ and } \langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

Recall [3] that a closed convex cone K in H is a *proper cone* if K is *reproducing* (that is, $K - K = H$) and *pointed* (that is, $K \cap -K = \{0\}$) (or equivalently, K and K^* have nonempty interiors [3]).

For a linear transformation L on H , L^* denotes its adjoint. It said to be

- *copositive on K* (*strictly copositive on K*) if $\langle L(x), x \rangle \geq 0$ (> 0) for all $0 \neq x \in K$;
- *monotone* if $\langle L(x), x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$;
- *positive stable* (*Schur stable*) if all the eigenvalues of L lie in the open right-half plane (respectively, in the open unit disk).

In the space $H = \mathbb{R}^n$, vectors are written as column vectors and the usual inner product is written as $\langle x, y \rangle$ or as $x^T y$. Following standard terminology,

- *Copositive matrices* (*positive semidefinite matrices*) are those which are copositive on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ (respectively, on $\mathbb{R}^n$);
- *Completely positive matrices* are of the form BB^T with columns of B coming from $\mathbb{R}_+^n$.

Throughout this paper, K denotes a closed convex cone in H and $\mathcal{C}$ denotes a closed convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Corresponding to $\mathcal{C}$, the copositive cone $\mathcal{E}$ and the completely positive cone $\mathcal{K}$ in $\mathcal{S}^n$ are defined, respectively, by (1.2) and (1.3).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let L be a self-adjoint linear transformation on H that is copositive on K . Then*

$$x \in K, \langle L(x), x \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow L(x) \in K^*.$$

Proof. Suppose $x \in K$ and $\langle L(x), x \rangle = 0$. Then for any $y \in K$,

$$0 \leq \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \langle L(x + ty), x + ty \rangle = 2\langle L(x), y \rangle.$$

This shows that $L(x) \in K^*$. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) $\mathcal{E}$ is a closed convex cone in $\mathcal{S}^n$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_+^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{K}$ is a closed convex cone; moreover, $\mathcal{K}$ is the dual of $\mathcal{E}$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{E}$ (likewise, $\mathcal{K}$) is proper if and only if $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Item (i) is obvious and Item (ii) is well known, see [24]. We prove Item (iii). As $\mathcal{S}_+^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{S}_+^n - \mathcal{S}_+^n = \mathcal{S}^n$, we have $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}^n$. So, to see (iii), it is enough to show that $\mathcal{E} \cap -\mathcal{E} = \{0\}$ if and only if $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now, let $A \in \mathcal{S}^n$. By an application of Proposition 2.1 with $L = A$ and $K = \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \in \mathcal{E} \cap -\mathcal{E} &\Leftrightarrow x^T A x = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C} \\ &\Leftrightarrow -Ax, Ax \in \mathcal{C}^* \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C} \\ &\Leftrightarrow A(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^* \cap -\mathcal{C}^* = \mathcal{C}^\perp = (\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C})^\perp \\ &\Leftrightarrow A(\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}) \subseteq (\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C})^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, when $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $A = 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{E} \cap -\mathcal{E}$. On the other hand, when $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} \neq \mathbb{R}^n$, (as $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}$ is a subspace) there exists $0 \neq v \in (\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C})^\perp$. Then $x^T(vv^T)x = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and so $0 \neq A = vv^T \in \mathcal{E} \cap -\mathcal{E}$.

Finally, $\mathcal{E}$ is proper if and only if its dual $\mathcal{K}$ is proper. Thus, $\mathcal{K}$ is proper if and only if $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$. $\square$

3. The Z-property and Lyapunov transformations. The **Z**-property of a matrix or a linear transformation with respect to a cone is defined by (1.1). The following result shows the importance of studying this property in dynamical systems.

PROPOSITION 3.1. ([9], [19]) *Suppose L is a linear transformation on H and K be a proper cone in H . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $L \in \mathbf{Z}(K)$.
- (b) $e^{-tL}(K) \subseteq K$ for all $t \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$.
- (c) The trajectory of the dynamical system $\dot{x} + L(x) = 0$ with any initial point in K stays in K .

As noted in the Introduction, when $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if all the off-diagonal entries of A are nonpositive. Here is a non-trivial example.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n > 1$ and write any element in the form $x = [t, u^T]^T$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L}_+^n := \left\{ x = \begin{bmatrix} t \\ u \end{bmatrix} : t \geq \|u\| \right\}.$$

This is a symmetric cone (that is, a self-dual, homogeneous, closed convex cone) in the Jordan spin algebra $\mathcal{L}^n$, called the Lorentz cone (or the second order cone or the ice-cream cone). For this (proper) cone, the copositive cone $\mathcal{E}$, the completely positive cone $\mathcal{K}$, and $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{L}_+^n)$ are described below.

Let $J := \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, \dots, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $A - \mu J$ is positive semidefinite for some $\mu \geq 0$, see [15], Lemma 2.2;
- (ii) $A \in \mathcal{K}$ if and only if A is a (finite) sum of matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} t^2 & tu^T \\ tu & uu^T \end{bmatrix}$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with $t \geq \|u\|$;
- (iii) $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{L}_+^n)$ if and only if $\alpha J - (JA + A^T J)$ is positive semidefinite for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, see Example 4 in [13];
- (iv) $A, -A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{L}_+^n)$ if and only if $JA + A^T J = \alpha J$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note: Item (ii) follows from the definition and Item (iv) is a simple consequence of (iii).

We now come to one of the main results of the paper. Before stating this, we observe that for any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,

$$L_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K}) \Leftrightarrow L_{A^T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}).$$

This follows easily as $(L_A)^* = L_{A^T}$ and $\mathcal{E}^* = \mathcal{K}$ in $\mathcal{S}^n$.

THEOREM 3.3. *For any closed convex cone $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \Rightarrow L_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K}).$$

The reverse implication holds under the following condition on $\mathcal{C}$:

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{C} \ni u \perp v \in \mathcal{C}^*, u \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists Y \in \mathcal{E} \text{ such that } Yu = v.$$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{K} \ni X \perp Y \in \mathcal{K}^* = \mathcal{E}$. Writing $X = \sum_1^N u_i u_i^T$, with $u_i \in \mathcal{C}$ for all i , we have

$$0 = \langle X, Y \rangle = \text{trace}(XY) = \sum_1^N u_i^T Y u_i.$$

This implies, as Y is copositive on $\mathcal{C}$, $u_i^T Y u_i = 0$ for all i . From Proposition 2.1, $v_i := Y u_i \in \mathcal{C}^*$. So, for all i , $\mathcal{C} \ni u_i \perp v_i \in \mathcal{C}^*$. As $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, $v_i^T A u_i = \langle A u_i, v_i \rangle \leq 0$ for all i . Now,

$$\langle L_A(X), Y \rangle = 2 \text{trace}(AXY) = 2 \sum_1^N \text{trace}(A u_i u_i^T Y) = 2 \sum_1^N v_i^T A u_i \leq 0.$$

Thus, $L_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K})$.

Now to see the reverse implication, assume that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies (3.1), $L_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K})$, and let $u \in \mathcal{C}$, $v \in \mathcal{C}^*$ and $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$. We have to show that $\langle Au, v \rangle \leq 0$. We may assume

without loss of generality, that u is nonzero. Then there exists a $Y \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $Yu = v$. We have

$$X = uu^T \in \mathcal{K}, Y \in \mathcal{K}^* = \mathcal{E}, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle X, Y \rangle = u^T Yu = u^T v = 0.$$

Hence $\text{trace}(L_A(X)Y) \leq 0$. This leads to $\text{trace}(AXY) \leq 0$ and $\langle Au, v \rangle = v^T Au = \text{trace}(AXY) \leq 0$. Thus, $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. $\square$

EXAMPLE 3.4. When $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\mathcal{C}^* = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{S}_+^n$. In this case, every matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ belongs to $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ and consequently, for any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, both L_A and $-L_A = L_{-A}$ belong to $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{S}_+^n)$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{S}_+^n \ni X \perp Y \in \mathcal{S}_+^n \Rightarrow \langle L_A(X), Y \rangle = 0.$$

(This motivates the definition of Lyapunov-like transformations, see Section 4.)

The following example shows that the reverse implication in Theorem 3.3 may not always hold.

EXAMPLE 3.5. In $\mathbb{R}^2$, let $\mathcal{C}$ be the closed upper half-plane. In this case, $\mathcal{C}^*$ is the nonnegative y -axis and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}_+^2$; hence $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{S}_+^2$. Now consider a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ whose $(2, 1)$ entry is one. Then for the standard coordinate vectors e_1 and e_2 , we have $\mathcal{C} \ni e_1 \perp e_2 \in \mathcal{C}^*$. However, $\langle Ae_1, e_2 \rangle = 1$. Therefore, $A \notin \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ while $L_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K})$.

COROLLARY 3.6. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed convex pointed cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \Leftrightarrow L_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K}).$$

Proof. We show that the given $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies condition (3.1) and quote the previous theorem. To this end, let $\mathcal{C} \ni u \perp v \in \mathcal{C}^*$, $u \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is pointed, $\mathcal{C}^*$ has nonempty interior. Let $w \in (\mathcal{C}^*)^\circ$ such that $w^T u = 1$. Define $Y := vw^T + wv^T$. Clearly $Y \in \mathcal{S}^n$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$x^T Y x = x^T v w^T x + x^T w v^T x \geq 0;$$

thus, $Y \in \mathcal{E}$. Also, $Yu = v w^T u + w v^T u = v$. $\square$

Our next objective is to present a result that extends the results of Lyapunov and Moldovan-Gowda. First, we recall a basic result on $\mathbf{Z}$ -transformations.

PROPOSITION 3.7. ([3], [13]) Suppose L is a linear transformation on H , K a proper cone in H , and $L \in \mathbf{Z}(K)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) There exists $d \in K^\circ$ such that $L(d) \in K^\circ$.
- (2) L is invertible with $L^{-1}(K^\circ) \subseteq K^\circ$.

- (3) L is positive stable.
- (4) $L + tI$ is invertible for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.
- (5) All real eigenvalues of L are positive.
- (6) There exists $e \in (K^*)^\circ$ such that $L^*(e) \in (K^*)^\circ$.

Moreover, when $H = \mathbb{R}^n$, $K = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, and $L = A$, the above properties (for a $\mathbf{Z}$ -matrix A) are further equivalent to

- (7) A is a $\mathbf{P}$ -matrix, that is, all principal minors of A are positive.
- (8) There exists a positive definite diagonal matrix D in $\mathcal{S}^n$ such that $AD + DA^T$ is positive definite.

As a consequence, we have the following.

THEOREM 3.8. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) A is positive stable.
- (b) The system $\dot{x} + Ax = 0$ is asymptotically stable in $\mathcal{C}$ (that is, from any starting point in $\mathcal{C}$, its trajectory converges to the origin).

When $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, these are further equivalent to:

- (c) There exists $D \in \mathcal{K}^\circ$ such that $AD + DA^T \in \mathcal{K}^\circ$.
- (d) There exists $D \in \mathcal{E}^\circ$ such that $A^T D + DA \in \mathcal{E}^\circ$.

If, in addition, $\mathcal{C}$ is also proper, then the above conditions are equivalent to

- (e) There exists $d \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$ such that $Ad \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$.

Proof. The proof of (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is standard, see the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [7]. The proof of (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is as in [7], except that the starting point should be allowed to vary in the interior of $\mathcal{C}$ (which is nonempty because $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$).

Now assume that $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$, by Proposition 2.2, both $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are proper; hence they have nonempty interiors. Also, since $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, by Theorem 3.3, $L_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ and $L_{A^T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E})$. Since the eigenvalues of L_A on $\mathcal{S}^n$ are of the form $\lambda + \bar{\mu}$, where λ and μ are eigenvalues of A , see [26], it follows that A is positive stable if and only if L_A is positive stable. Now the equivalence of Items (a), (c), and (d) follows from the previous result applied to L_A on $\mathcal{K}$.

When $\mathcal{C}$ is proper, the previous result can be applied to A and $\mathcal{C}$ (note that $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$) to get the equivalence of (a) and (e). $\square$

REMARK 3.9. (i) In Item (d) of the above theorem, the matrix $D \in \mathcal{E}^\circ$ is necessarily strictly copositive on $\mathcal{C}$: For any nonzero $u \in \mathcal{C}$, $u^T(D - \varepsilon I)u \geq 0$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$.

(ii) When $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, the equation

$$A^T X + X A = Y, \quad Y \text{ strictly copositive on } \mathcal{C}$$

has a unique solution X which is also strictly copositive on $\mathcal{C}$ for some Y (equivalently for all Y) if and only if A is positive stable. This follows from Items (1) and (2) in Proposition 3.7 with $K = \mathcal{E}$ and $L = L_{A^T}$. When $\mathcal{C}$ is proper and A is positive stable, this unique solution is given by

$$X = \int_0^\infty e^{-tA^T} Y e^{-tA} dt.$$

(iii) The results of Lyapunov and Moldovan-Gowda (stated in the Introduction) follow by taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ respectively.

(iv) Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is proper. When the conditions of the above result are in place, (any) trajectory of the system $\dot{x} + Ax = 0$ from any starting point in $\mathcal{C}$ stays in $\mathcal{C}$ and converges to the origin as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In this setting, $f(x) := d^T x$ (with d as in Item (e)) acts as a linear Lyapunov function and $g(x) := x^T D x$ (with D as in Item (d)) acts as a quadratic Lyapunov function.

(v) Instead of our condition $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$ in Theorem 3.8, Stern [23] assumes that $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $\mathcal{C} \cap -\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$. He proves that when $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap -\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$, the system $\dot{x} + Ax = 0$ is asymptotically stable if and only if the following implication holds:

$$[x \in \mathcal{C}, -Ax \in \mathcal{C}] \Rightarrow x = 0.$$

It may be noted that if, in addition, $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$, that is, if $\mathcal{C}$ is proper, then the above condition is equivalent to Item (e) in Theorem 3.8.

The following result (partially) answers a question of Bundfuss and Dür [5]:

COROLLARY 3.10. *Suppose $\mathcal{C} = M(\mathbb{R}_+^m)$ is a polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where M is an $n \times m$ -matrix. Assume that M has rank n and $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Then there exists a symmetric matrix D such that D and $A^T D + D A$ are strictly copositive on $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if A is positive stable.*

4. Lyapunov-like transformations. Motivated by Example 3.4, a linear transformation L on H is said to be *Lyapunov-like* with respect to a closed convex cone K in H if both L and $-L$ have the $\mathbf{Z}$ -property on K . This simply means that

$$K \ni x \perp y \in K^* \Rightarrow \langle L(x), y \rangle = 0.$$

For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the Lyapunov transformation L_A is Lyapunov-like with respect to $\mathcal{S}_+^n$ in $\mathcal{S}^n$ (see Example 3.4). In the setting of the cone $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Lyapunov-

like matrices are just diagonal matrices. Because of Proposition 3.1, Lyapunov-like transformations are intimately connected to automorphism groups and Lie algebras.

In the rest of this section, we assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a *proper cone* in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and use the notation $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}^n, \mathcal{S}^n)$ to denote the set of all (bounded) linear transformations on $\mathcal{S}^n$. We consider two automorphism groups:

- $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) := \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : A(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}\}.$
- $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}) := \{L \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}^n, \mathcal{S}^n) : L(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}\}.$

(Note that elements of these groups are necessarily invertible, as $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ have nonempty interiors.) Since these groups can be regarded as matrix groups, the corresponding Lie algebras are given, see [2], by:

- $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})) := \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : e^{tA} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$
- $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})) := \{L \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}^n, \mathcal{S}^n) : e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}) \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$

Note that in these Lie algebras, the Lie bracket is the one induced by the (associative) product of matrices/transformations: $[A, B] = AB - BA$, etc.

In view of Proposition 3.1, we have

$$A, -A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \Leftrightarrow A \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})) \quad \text{and} \quad L, -L \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K}) \Leftrightarrow L \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})).$$

THEOREM 4.1. *For any proper cone $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the mapping $A \mapsto L_A$ is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism from $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))$ to $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}))$.*

Proof. For $A \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))$, we have $A, -A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. By Theorem 3.3, $L_A, -L_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K})$, that is, $L_A \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}))$. Clearly, the mapping $A \mapsto L_A$ is linear. That it is a Lie algebra homomorphism follows from the identity $L_{[A, B]} = [L_A, L_B]$. To show that this is injective, suppose $L_A = 0$, that is, $AX + XA^T = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$. By taking $X = I$ (Identity), we see that $A + A^T = 0$, that is, A is skew-symmetric. By taking X to be a diagonal matrix with distinct elements, we see that $A = 0$. $\square$

5. The $\mathbf{Z}$ -property of Stein transformations. Recall that for a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the corresponding Stein transformation S_A is defined on $\mathcal{S}^n$ by $S_A(X) := X - AXA^T$. We also recall that $\Pi(\mathcal{C}) := \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : A(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}\}$. As in the case of Lyapunov transformations, we have $S_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K}) \Leftrightarrow S_{A^T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E})$.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be any closed convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$\pm A \in \Pi(\mathcal{C}) \Rightarrow S_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K}).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $A \in \Pi(\mathcal{C})$. Let $X = \sum_1^N u_i u_i^T \in \mathcal{K}$, $Y \in \mathcal{K}^* = \mathcal{E}$, and $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$, where $u_i \in \mathcal{C}$ for all i . Then $w_i := Au_i \in \mathcal{C}$ for all i .

Now, as Y is copositive on $\mathcal{C}$,

$$\text{trace}(AXA^TY) = \sum_1^N w_i^T Y w_i \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\langle S_A(X), Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle - \langle AXA^T, Y \rangle = -\text{trace}(AXA^TY) \leq 0.$$

This proves that $S_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{K})$. $\square$

EXAMPLE 5.2. By taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$ in the above theorem, we see that for any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $S_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{S}_+^n)$. Now, let $\mathcal{C}$ be the closed upper half-plane in $\mathbb{R}^2$ so that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}_+^2$. Then for any 2×2 real matrix A , $S_A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{S}_+^2)$, while it is easy to construct a 2×2 real matrix which is not in $\Pi(\mathcal{C})$. Thus, the converse in the above theorem does not hold.

Analogous to Theorem 3.8, we have

THEOREM 5.3. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) A is Schur stable.
- (b) The system $x(k+1) = Ax(k)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is asymptotically stable in $\mathcal{C}$ (that is, from any starting point in $\mathcal{C}$, its trajectory converges to the origin).

When $\pm A \in \Pi(\mathcal{C})$, these are further equivalent to:

- (c) There exists $D \in \mathcal{K}^\circ$ such that $S_A(D) \in \mathcal{K}^\circ$.
- (d) There exists $D \in \mathcal{E}^\circ$ such that $S_{A^T}(D) \in \mathcal{E}^\circ$.

Note: S_A is positive stable if and only if A is Schur stable, see [10].

6. Cone spectrum, copositivity, and Z-transformations. In this section, we relate the $\mathbf{Z}$ -property, copositivity, and cone spectrum. Let L be a linear transformation on H and K be a *nonzero* closed convex cone in H . Then the *cone spectrum* [21] of L with respect to K is the set of all real λ for which there is an x such that

$$0 \neq x \in K, L(x) - \lambda x \in K^* \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x, L(x) - \lambda x \rangle = 0.$$

We denote this set by $\sigma(L, K)$.

The following result gives the nonemptiness of the cone spectrum.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let K be a nonzero closed convex cone in H and L be linear on H .*

- (i) *If K is proper, then $\sigma(L, K) \neq \emptyset$.*

(ii) If L is self-adjoint, then $\sigma(L, K) \neq \emptyset$; In fact,

$$\lambda^* := \min\{\langle L(x), x \rangle : x \in K, \|x\| = 1\} \in \sigma(L, K).$$

Proof. The proof of (i) is given in [20], Corollary 2.1. While a proof of (ii) is given in [14], Corollary 2.4 and [21], Example 1, we offer a direct and simple proof. Let $\lambda^* = \langle L(x^*), x^* \rangle$, where $x^* \in K$ with $\|x^*\| = 1$. Define $S := L - \lambda^* I$. Then for all $0 \neq x \in K$, we have

$$\langle S(x), x \rangle = \|x\|^2 \left\{ \left\langle L\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right), \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\rangle - \lambda^* \right\} \geq 0.$$

This means that the self-adjoint transformation S is copositive on K . Since $\langle S(x^*), x^* \rangle = 0$, we have, from Proposition 2.1, $y^* = S(x^*) \in K^*$. Thus, we have

$$x^* \in K, \quad y^* := L(x^*) - \lambda^* x^* \in K^* \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x^*, y^* \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, $\lambda^* \in \sigma(L, K)$. $\square$

The above result, together with the observation that every $\lambda \in \sigma(L, K)$ is of the form $\lambda = \frac{\langle L(x), x \rangle}{\|x\|^2}$ for some nonzero $x \in K$, gives the following:

COROLLARY 6.2. Suppose $\sigma(L, K)$ is nonempty. If L is copositive on K , then $\lambda \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \sigma(L, K)$. The converse holds when L is self-adjoint.

In what follows, we write $\sigma(L)$ for the spectrum of L .

THEOREM 6.3. Suppose K is proper and $L \in \mathbf{Z}(K)$. Then

$$\min \operatorname{Re} \sigma(L) \in \sigma(L, K) \subseteq \sigma(L).$$

Proof. Let $\mu^* := \min\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(L)\}$. Since K is proper and $L \in \mathbf{Z}(K)$, by Theorem 6 in [19], there exists a nonzero $u \in K$ such that $L(u) = \mu^* u$. Clearly, $\mu^* \in \sigma(L, K)$. This proves the first part of the inclusion. The second part is proved in Theorem 9, [27]; Here is its short proof: Let $\mu \in \sigma(L, K)$ so that for some nonzero $x \in K$, $y = L(x) - \mu x \in K^*$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. As $L - \mu I \in \mathbf{Z}(K)$, $\langle (L - \mu I)x, y \rangle \leq 0$. This leads to $y = 0$, that is, $L(x) = \mu x$ proving $\mu \in \sigma(L)$. $\square$

REMARK 6.4. In Theorem 3.8, the equivalence of (a) and (d) was proved under the assumptions that $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. When $\mathcal{C}$ is proper and $A \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, the following simple proof (an adaptation of the standard argument) can be given. We only prove the implication (d) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Assume that for some (symmetric) D that is strictly copositive on $\mathcal{C}$, $A^T D + DA = Y$ is also strictly copositive on $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mu^* = \min \operatorname{Re} \sigma(A)$ so that by Theorem 6 in [19], there is a nonzero $u \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $Au = \mu^* u$. Then $0 < u^T Y u = u^T (A^T D + DA) u = 2\mu^* u^T D u$. Since $u^T D u$ is also positive, we see that $\mu^* > 0$. This means that A is positive stable.

The following result extends a result of J. Tao [25] proved in the setting of symmetric cones.

COROLLARY 6.5. *Let K be proper, $L \in \mathbf{Z}(K)$ and copositive on K . Then L is semi-positive stable (that is, all eigenvalues of L lie in the closed right-half plane). If, in addition, L is self-adjoint or K is self-dual, then L is monotone.*

Proof. That L is semi-positive stable follows from Corollary 6.2 and Theorem 6.3. If L is self-adjoint, then all eigenvalues of L are nonnegative, and hence L is monotone. When K is self dual, $L \in \mathbf{Z}(K) \Leftrightarrow L^* \in \mathbf{Z}(K^*) \Leftrightarrow L^* \in \mathbf{Z}(K)$. In this case, $L + L^*$ is self-adjoint, copositive on K , and belongs to $\mathbf{Z}(K)$. By the previous case, $L + L^*$ (and hence L) is monotone. $\square$

A concluding remark. In a follow up paper [12], it is shown that the mapping $A \mapsto L_A$ in Theorem 4.1 is actually a bijection.

Acknowledgments. Thanks are due to a referee for his/her comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Alizadeh. Interior point methods in semidefinite programming with applications to combinatorial optimization. *SIAM J. Optim.*, 5:13–51, 1995.
- [2] A. Baker. *Matrix Groups*. Springer, London, 2002.
- [3] A. Berman and R.J. Plemmons. *Nonnegative Matrices in Mathematical Sciences*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1994.
- [4] A. Berman and N. Shaked-Monderer. *Completely Positive Matrices*. World Scientific, New Jersey, 2003.
- [5] S. Bundfuss and M. Dür. Copositive Lyapunov functions for switched systems over cones. *Systems Control Lett.*, 58:342–345, 2009.
- [6] S. Burer. On the copositive representation of binary and continuous nonconvex quadratic programs. *Math. Program., Ser. A*, 120:479–495, 2009.
- [7] B.N. Datta. Stability and inertia. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 302/303:563–600, 1999.
- [8] G. Eichfelder and J. Povh. On reformulations of nonconvex quadratic programs over convex cones by set-semidefinite constraints. *Research Report*, Department of Mathematics, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany, December 9, 2010.
- [9] L. Elsner. Quasimonotonie und Ungleichungen in halbgeordneten Räumen. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 8:249–261, 1974.
- [10] M.S. Gowda and T. Parthasarathy. Complementarity forms of theorems of Lyapunov and Stein, and related results. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 320:131–144, 2000.
- [11] M.S. Gowda and Y. Song. On semidefinite linear complementarity problems. *Math. Program., Ser. A*, 88:575–587, 2000.
- [12] M.S. Gowda, R. Sznajder, and J. Tao. The automorphism group of a completely positive cone and its Lie algebra. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, to appear. Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2011.10.006>.

- [13] M.S. Gowda and J. Tao. Z-transformations on proper and symmetric cones. *Math. Program., Ser. B*, 117:195–221, 2009.
- [14] P. Lavilledieu and A. Seeger. Existence de valeurs propres pour les systèmes multivoques: Résultats anciens et nouveaux. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec.*, 25:47–70, 2001.
- [15] R. Loewy and H. Schneider. Positive operators on the n -dimensional ice cream cone. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 49:375–392, 1975.
- [16] A.M. Lyapunov. Problème général de la stabilité du mouvement. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse*, 9:203–474, 1907.
- [17] O. Mason and R. Shorten. On linear copositive Lyapunov functions and the stability of switched positive linear systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 52:1346–1349, 2007.
- [18] M.M. Moldovan and M.S. Gowda. On common linear/quadratic Lyapunov functions for switched linear systems. *Nonlinear Analysis and Variational Problems*, Springer Optim. Appl., Springer, New York, 35:415–429, 2010.
- [19] H. Schneider and M. Vidyasagar. Cross-positive matrices. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 7:508–519, 1970.
- [20] A. Seeger. Eigenvalue analysis of equilibrium processes defined by linear complementarity conditions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 292:1–14, 1999.
- [21] A. Seeger and M. Torki. On eigenvalues induced by a cone constraint. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 372:181–206, 2003.
- [22] P. Stein. Some general theorems on iterants. *J. Research Nat. Bur. Standards*, 48:82–83, 1952.
- [23] R. Stern. A note on positively invariant cones, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 9:67–72, 1982.
- [24] J.F. Sturm and S. Zhang. On cones of nonnegative quadratic functions. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 28:246–267, 2003.
- [25] J. Tao. Pseudomonotonicity and related properties in Euclidean Jordan algebras. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 22:225–251, 2011.
- [26] O. Taussky and H. Wielandt. On the matrix function $AX + X'A'$. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 9:93–96, 1962.
- [27] Y. Zhou and M.S. Gowda. On the finiteness of the cone spectrum of certain linear transformations on Euclidean Jordan algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 431:772–782, 2009.