In-situ Sensing Using Mass Spectrometry and its Use for Run-To-Run Control on a W-CVD Cluster Tool
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Abstract. A 300 amu closed-ion-source RGA (Leybold-Inficon Transpector 2) sampling gases directly from the reactor of an ULVAC ERA-1000 cluster tool has been used for real time process monitoring of a W CVD process. The process involves H2 reduction of WF6 at a total pressure of 67 Pa (0.5 torr) to produce W films on Si wafers heated at temperatures around 350°C. The normalized RGA signals for the H2 reagent depletion and the HF product generation were correlated with the W film weight as measured post-process with an electronic microbalance for the establishment of thin-film weight (thickness) metrology. The metrology uncertainty (about 7% for the HF product) was limited primarily by the very low conversion efficiency of the W CVD process (around 2-3%). The HF metrology was then used to drive a robust run-to-run control algorithm, with the deposition time selected as the manipulated (or controlled) variable. For that purpose, during a 10 wafer run, a systematic process drift was introduced as a -5°C processing temperature change for each successive wafer, in an otherwise unchanged process recipe. Without adjustment of the deposition time the W film weight (thickness) would have declined by about 50% by the 10th wafer. With the aid of the process control algorithm, an adjusted deposition time was computed so as to maintain constant HF sensing signal, resulting in weight (thickness) control comparable to the accuracy of the thickness metrology. These results suggest that in-situ chemical sensing, and particularly mass spectrometry, provide the basis for wafer state metrology as needed to achieve run-to-run control. Furthermore, since the control accuracy was consistent with the metrology accuracy, we anticipate significant improvements for processes as used in manufacturing, where conversion rates are much higher (40-50%) and corresponding signals for metrology will be much larger.
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INTRODUCTION

Advanced Process Control (APC) provides benefits in two areas: (1) fault management (error identification/classification) and (2) course correction (set point tracking). While these have been a mainstay in the chemical industry for some time, they are only recently being developed and implemented in semiconductor manufacturing processes. As the industry is moving to larger wafer sizes and shrinking device sizes, loss of processed wafers due to delayed detection of equipment/process problems can have severe financial implications. As a result, the need for the introduction of APC in this industry has been recognized [1] and some effort has been devoted to the transfer of technological know-how from other engineering disciplines. This transfer is, however, far from trivial mainly due to the absence of accurate models for semiconductor processes and lack of sensor-based metrology implementation [2].

In this article we present the use of mass spectrometry for real-time process monitoring of a W CVD process, the establishment of thin film thickness metrology and its application for Run-to-Run process control.

EXPERIMENTAL

An ULVAC ERA-1000 cluster tool was used for deposition of W films on Si wafers via H2 reduction of WF6. The reagent flow rates were 40 sccm for H2 and CP550, Characterization and Metrology for ULSI Technology: 2000 International Conference, edited by D. G. Seiler, A. C. Diebold, T. J. Shaffner, R. McDonald, W. M. Bullis, P. J. Smith, and E. M. Secula © 2001 American Institute of Physics 1-56396-967-X/01/$18.00
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10 sccm for WF$_6$, at a total pressure of 67 Pa (0.5 torr) and actual wafer temperatures around 350°C. For specificity in this paper, we always refer to setpoint temperatures on the tool controller. However, we determined experimentally that actual wafer temperatures are significantly (100-150°C) below the setpoint temperature entered on the tool recipe; this should be kept in mind in comparing these results to prior reports in the literature.

The process initially deposited a W seed layer on the Si surface through the nucleation reactions:

$$2\text{WF}_6 + 3\text{Si} \rightarrow 2\text{W(s)} + 3\text{SiF}_4 \uparrow \quad (1)$$

$$\text{WF}_6 + 3\text{Si} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{W(s)} + 2\text{SiHF}_3 \uparrow \quad (2)$$

Once the W seed layer was formed, it provided the activated surface sites (W*(s)) for the reaction through dissociative adsorption of WF$_6$ and F atom removal as the HF volatile product:

$$\text{W*(s)} + \text{WF}_6 \rightarrow \text{WF}_6^* + \text{W(s)} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{WF}_6^* + 3\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{W(s)} + 6\text{HF} \uparrow \quad (4)$$

The process operating pressure, developed by Ulvac for a selective W CVD process, was significantly lower than that for blanket W CVD as now routinely practiced in the industry, about 5kPa (or 40 torr). As a result the reagent conversion was limited to 2-3%, making this process much more challenging for both metrology and control than should be expected for commercial blanket W CVD processes.

A Leybold-Inficon Transpector 2 CIS-RGA sampling gases directly from the reactor was used to mass analyze the composition of the process gas mixture. The RGA was used to monitor the partial pressures of both reagents (H$_2$ and WF$_6$) and the HF product, in addition to a number of other species associated with impurity concerns.

**RGA-BASED METROLOGY**

The RGA signals for the HF product and the H$_2$ reagent, corrected for background and normalized for sensor and/or process drifts were correlated with the W film weight as measured post-process with an electronic microbalance for the establishment of thin film weight (thickness) metrology. From the two, the HF based metrology exhibited better accuracy (~7%) and was thus selected for the Run-to-Run control experiments. A detailed account of the metrology development and demonstration can be found elsewhere [3].

**THE CONTROL PROBLEM**

The main objective of a process control strategy is to keep the value of the control variable within a target range in the presence of process disturbances, which are manifested as sudden step changes (called shifts here), and/or continuous monotonic changes (defined here as drips). In our experiment the parameter of interest (primary control variable) was the amount of W deposited on the wafer, expressed either as film weight or film thickness. A parameter directly related to it through in-situ sensor-based metrology was the normalized HF mass spectrometer signal, and will be called the secondary control variable. The process variables, such as the reagent flow rates, the process pressure, the wafer, and the deposition time had a direct effect on the W film weight deposited on the wafer and could thus be used as manipulated (or controlled) variables to keep the process on track. However, the reagent flow rates and the process pressure were quickly eliminated as potential manipulated variables due to their very limited effect on the deposition rate in the process window. Temperature variations had a quite significant effect on the deposition rate but we decided to use it as an artificial disturbance instead so as to test the control system. As a result, the deposition time was left as the only potential manipulated variable, giving us a Single Input-Single Output (SISO) control scheme.

The objective of this work was to develop a control methodology, which exploited in-situ sensor-based metrology to maintain the W film weight at the target value in the presence of process disturbances by adjusting the deposition time. To assess the control approach, an artificial process disturbance was introduced as a 5°C temperature reduction for each successive wafer, resulting in a declining film weight. From the controller’s perspective this was an unmodeled drift, which the control system would need to compensate. As input to the controller we could use either the W film weight or the HF signal from the preceding run, and the controller’s task was to calculate a suitable deposition time for the following run so as to bring and maintain the film weight to its target value. The use of the HF RGA signal rather than the microbalance measurement as the control variable was of primary importance since the RGA was the sensor directly monitoring the process. Initial:
experiments, however were performed with the microbalance so as to test the robustness of the control algorithm.

**DEVELOPMENT OF THE CONTROLLER**

The development of a successful control strategy required (1) a reasonably accurate process model and (2) the development and tuning of a suitable control algorithm.

**Process Modeling**

We used three empirical models. (1) A model between the W film weight and the deposition time (Fig. 1a) was used with post-process weight measurements to test the control algorithm. (2) A model between the RGA HF signal and the deposition time (Fig. 1b) provided a control strategy in which the secondary control variable (in-situ sensor signal for HF product) could drive the manipulated variable (deposition time). (3) A model between the W film weight and the RGA HF signal (Fig. 1c) was derived from (1) and (2).

All three models were linear static models and were obtained by processing 37 wafers under identical conditions except for varying deposition times. The weight of the W film was determined post-process with an electronic microbalance. The data for the first wafer of each run was omitted so as to avoid the well documented “first wafer effect”[3] in the development of the models.

**Controller development and tuning**

The development of a robust control scheme depends on the existence of a process model that correlated the manipulated variable (here, deposition time) to the control variables, either primary (film weight) or secondary (HF product signal). A linear static process model can be represented by the equation:

\[ y_n = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_n \]  

where \( \beta_0 \) denotes the y intercept of the model, \( \beta_1 \)
the slope of the model, x the manipulated variable, n
the run number, and \( \bar{y}_n \) denotes the model output.

The actual process typically follows a somewhat
different behavior, which is characterized in a different
model known as the plant. This model is expressed by
the equation:

\[ y_n = \gamma_n + \beta x_n \quad (6) \]

Where \( \gamma_n \) is the y intercept, \( \beta \) the slope of the plant,
and \( y_n \) is the plant output. For control schemes using
the Internal Model Control (IMC) approach, \( \gamma_n \) can be
interpreted as the load or disturbance at the output.
The model slope \( \beta \) was taken as fixed but in general
different from \( \beta \) due to model uncertainty or error,
and \( x_n \) was the input that was manipulated to drive \( y_n \)
to the desired target. Finally, \( y_{n-1} \) was estimated using
the plant data from the past n-1 runs. Thus the
controller updated the y-intercept term of the model
after every run.

The exact controller type required depended on the
type of disturbances which were expected and/or most
important to compensate for. When step-like
disturbances (shifts) were present in the process, a
controller using an Exponentially Weighted Moving
Average (EWMA) algorithm was adequate. Such a
controller (known as TYPE I) calculated the value of
the manipulated variable (deposition time in our case)
for the following run by exponentially weighing the
previous n-1 run data using recursive relationships
with one tuning parameter \( \alpha \). However in the presence
of drifts in the input variables, it could produce an
offset which degraded controller performance to an
extent depending on the modeling error, the amount of
drift and the tuning parameter \( \alpha \). For such processes,
Advikolanu and Zafiriou [4] have previously
succeeded in incorporating the EWMA properties into
an IMC structure, thus creating a more advanced and
robust type of controller (known as Type II). Such a
controller exhibited good model updating properties
and zero steady state offset in the presence of process
drifts, and the input variable value was calculated from
recursive relationships that included two tuning
parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \eta \).

For each controller, extensive simulations using
Matlab were performed prior to each daily run to
calculate the best values for the parameters, defined as
the values that guaranteed smooth operation of the
controller even in the presence of the worst case of
mismatch between the process model and the plant
model.

RESULTS

Validation of the control strategy with ex-
situ, post-process metrology

A first objective was to test the behavior of the
controller. For that purpose, we decided to use the W
film weight as measured by the microbalance as the
control variable. A target W film weight of 0.02 g was
chosen, corresponding at a deposition time of 5.82 min
(from the model relating weight of W and deposition
time). During the first run the W film weight obtained
was slightly different due to plant-model mismatch
(see Figure 2). As a result the controller had to take
action to bring the weight on the target value. This
initial discrepancy was interpreted as a step like
disturbance and a TYPE I controller was used to
handle it. At the 7th wafer, a temperature drift of -5° C
per run was introduced. In the absence of control, this
drift would have resulted in declining film weight as
"w/o control (estimate)" in Fig. 2; this estimate was
based on the W film weight obtained from 5 min
deposition experiments at the specific temperatures,
extrapolated to the current deposition time. Once the
temperature drift was introduced, the Type II controller
- specifically designed to handle such unmodeled drifts
- was used to calculate the required deposition times so
as to maintain the specified film weight value. Figure
2 indicates that this was successful to about 3%, which
we estimated as the microbalance accuracy.
FIGURE 3. HF RGA signal vs. Run number. The initial run defines the target HF value and the temperature drift is introduced from the following run. The controller succeeds in keeping the HF normalized HF signal fairly close to the target while the resulting W film thickness is also fairly stable.

Applying run-to-run control with in-situ, sensor-based process metrology

The real test for the potential use of sensor-based metrology as a basis for run to run control applications involves using in-situ mass spectrometry (RGA) signals as secondary control variables. For these experiments, we used the HF signal for the first wafer as the target value for the secondary control variable, and we initiated the temperature drift from the second wafer. Post-process measurements of film weight were carried out but not used for control. As can be seen in Figure 3a, the controller succeeded in keeping the HF normalized RGA signal within about 10% of the target value, as seen in the curve "with control", despite random process variations which caused temporary overshoot of the target value. The HF signal levels for deposition times varied for each wafer by the controller provided a means to estimate what the drift in HF signal would have been in the absence of control (by rescaling the signal inversely proportional to the manipulated deposition time); thus, the curve "projected HF signal without control" shows what the temperature drift would have caused in the absence of control.

The ultimate purpose of in-situ sensing and corresponding metrology using the secondary control variable is to achieve control of the primary variable, film weight, with sensing rapid enough to allow run-to-run control. As seen in Fig. 3b, the W film weights resulting from run-to-run control of the in-situ HF metrology signal were fairly stable and consistent with the capabilities of the sensor-based metrology (about 7% error) using mass spectrometry to sense HF product signals. There was also a small offset between actual weight averaged over the wafer batch and the target weight as defined by the first wafer.

CONCLUSIONS

These experiments demonstrate that wafer-state metrology based on in-situ mass spectrometry can produce effective run-to-run control in the presence of significant systematic process drift. Because the degree of control is consistent with the metrology capability, improvements in metrology accuracy are desirable and worthwhile. In the W CVD case studied here, the metrology is limited largely by the low conversion rate of reagents, so improved metrology and control are both anticipated for processes more typical of common manufacturing processes, where conversion rates are at least 10X larger. Further work is under way to assess the prognosis for in-situ sensor-based control in these domains.
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