Important Information

Meets: Thursday, 10:00-12:30pm, in Social Science room 210

Professor: Dr. Wayne Lutters
Office: Academic IV, Room 472
E-mail: lutters@umbc.edu
Phone: (410) 455-3941
Office Hours: Tue. 4:00-5:30pm, Thu. 4:00-5:00pm, online, and by appointment.

Website: http://blackboard.umbc.edu/

Texts: Strauss, Anselm L. and Corbin, Juliet. (1998). *Basics of Qualitative Research: Grounded Theory Procedures and Techniques* (second edition), Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

                Orr, Julian E. (1996). *Talking About Machines: an Ethnography of a Modern Job*, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Supplement: Additional required readings will be available in class, online, or on reserve at the AOK library. Helpful reference texts have also been placed on reserve.

Course Policies

OFFICE HOURS
Students are encouraged to take advantage of office hours, especially to discuss their research projects. While there is not a schedule specific to this course, I am available many times of the week besides those posted. Stop by any time the door is open or request an appointment via e-mail to ensure that I will be available.

Students are welcome to raise any issues dealing with the course or their studies, however, as a policy I do not reiterate material from classes which you have missed. It is best to download the lecture slides and check with your fellow classmates to cover absences.

CLASSROOM CONDUCT
Regular attendance is expected, but not required. Do note, however, that active participation in class discussion is a sizeable portion of your final course grade.

If arriving late to class, please be courteous to your fellow students and instructor. Disruptive behavior cannot be tolerated. This also includes cell phones and pagers, which must be turned off for the duration of the class.

ACADEMIC CONDUCT
By enrolling in this course, each student assumes the responsibilities of an active participant in UMBC's scholarly community in which everyone's academic work and behavior are held to the highest standards of honesty and integrity. Acts of academic misconduct, as defined below, will result in disciplinary action that may include failure of the course, suspension, or dismissal. (Please consult the UMBC Student Handbook for the full policy.)

• **Cheating:** Knowingly using or attempting to use unauthorized material, information, or study aids in any academic exercise.

• **Fabrication:** Intentional and unauthorized falsification or invention of any information or citation in an academic exercise.

• **Facilitation:** Knowingly helping or attempting to help another commit an act of academic dishonesty.

• **Plagiarism:** Knowingly representing the words or ideas of another as one's own in any academic exercise, including works of art and computer-generated information/images.

- Appreciate the relationship of the fundamental philosophical stances in IS research.
- Be able to critically evaluate qualitative research.
- Thoughtfully design a field-based inquiry.
- Gain mastery of core field-based data collection and analysis techniques.
- Internalize this material through a personally relevant, semester-long research project.

Course Assignments

WEEKLY ARTICLE REVIEW
Each week the class will read, critique, and discuss a field-based research article from the contemporary IS literature. Each student will prepare and lead <u>one</u> of these discussions. This includes the following activities:

Presenter:
1.) Select an appropriate field-based IS research article at least two weeks in advance
2.) Clear it with the instructor
3.) Distribute the article to the class at least one week in advance
4.) Read and critique the article
5.) Review questions/comments posted to the Blackboard discussion board
6.) Summarize the article and resultant online class commentary
7.) Lead class in discussion of the article

All students:
1.) Read and critique the article
2.) Post questions/comments on the appropriate Blackboard discussion board
3.) Be prepared to engage in active class discussion

COURSE PROJECT
Learn by doing. In order to concretize the abstract material from this course, each student will undertake a personally relevant research project. The topic is to be selected in consultation with the instructor and scoped appropriately for the course (likely a pilot or proof-of-concept study). The evaluative emphasis is on the experience more than the outcome of the research project. While it may not yield presentable results in a semester's time, it should provide ample opportunity to be meaningfully engaged in both data collection and analysis activities. The course content is frontloaded to accommodate the project schedule.

PROJECT PRESENTATIONS
During Nov. 7-21, you will present your course project as a "work in progress" for peer review and discussion.

PROJECT PAPER
A final term paper will summarize the course project, including: research questions, study design and rationale, analysis, preliminary findings, retrospective reflection, and expectations for continued research. Due 12/16.

TAKE-HOME EXAM
There will be a week-long, take-home essay exam due 10/31. This exam will integrate content covered to date and evaluate your critical reasoning with regard to field-based IS research.

CLASS PARTICIPATION
Every student is expected to have completed the assigned reading (text, supplemental reading, review article) prior to class and actively participate in class discussion. Contributions will be noted and mid-semester feedback will be provided. There will also be a series of in-class exercises for which all should actively contribute. (These activities will be included in your participation grade.)

GRADING STANDARDS
The University's Graduate Catalog states that grades of "A", "B", and "C" are passing and grades of "D" and "F" indicate failure. There is specifically no mention of any numerical scores associated with these letter grades. Consequently, there are no pre-defined numerical boundaries that determine final letter grades. These boundaries can only be defined at the end of the semester after all scores have been earned. At that point, boundaries for final letter grades can be defined such that they conform to the University's and IFSM's official guidelines. This means that it is not appropriate to assume that a given numerical score corresponds to a particular letter grade. It is also important to understand that final letter grades reflect academic achievement and not effort.

GRADING DETAILS
Your course grade will depend largely upon your course project, including both the presentation and final paper. Other activities include your article review session, exam, in-class exercises and active class participation.

There are 100 possible points to be earned in the course, with approximate percentage breakdowns as follows: (specific point values for each assignment will be announced and listed in the Blackboard grade book.)

Assignment	%
• Project	
- Presentation	10
- Paper	40
• Article review	10
• Exam	20
• Class participation	20

LATE WORK
Assignments are due at the beginning of class or as indicated. Late assignments will not be accepted.

OIT instructions for registering for the 800B Blackboard 5 course website:

1.) Go to http://blackboard.umbc.edu
2.) When you press the "login" button, you will receive a UMBC "WebAuth" login prompt. Simply use your usual UMBC "kerberos" userid & password. You will then be redirected to your course or to the MyBlackoard screen that lists your available courses.
3.) If you have not enrolled in the online course before, click on the "course" button. Then click on "Browse Course Catalog".
4.) Type in a keyword for your course in the text box. For example, if you are taking an English course, type in ENGL. Click on "Go".
5.) Find your course site and click on the "Enroll" button on the far right side of the window. Click on "Submit", and "OK", when prompted to do so.

Tentative Schedule

Following is a tentative schedule of lecture topics, readings, research panel topics and assignment due dates. The instructor reserves the right to adjust this schedule for any reason, given fair advanced notice both in class and on the Blackboard announcements page. In addition, the most current schedule will always be available under "Course Information." Please check Blackboard frequently to ensure that your information is up-to-date.

	Date	Topic	Reading	Activity	Article Review	Assignment
Theory	8/29	Philosophical foundations	Strauss, 15-22			Enroll Blackboard
	9/5	Research design	Strauss, 33-56;249-258 Orlikowski Lee		**[Lutters]** Markus, M. Lynne. (1983). Power, Politics, and MIS Implementation. *Communications of the ACM* (26:6), 430-444.	
Method — *Data Collection*	9/12	Observation	Patton, 200-217;240-241 Jorgensen, 82-85 Lofland, 66-78;89-98 Creswell, 129	Naturalistic observation field exercises		ICIS panel review Project topic due
	9/19	Interviewing	Patton, 278-291 Fetterman, 37-51 Jorgensen, 85-95 Lofland, 78-89	Interview caricature role play exercis es	**[Umarji]** Seaman, Carolyn B. (2002). The Information Gathering Strategies of Software Maintainers. *International Conference on Software Maintenance.*	Take-home observation exercise
	9/26	Focus groups and Field experimentation	Patton, 334-337 Morgan, 6-17 Kruger, 198-206	Mock focus group session	**[Stanziola]** Duncker, Elke (2002). Cross-Cultural Usability of the Library Metaphor, *Proceedings of ACM/IEEE-CS joint conference on Digital Libraries*, New York: ACM Press, 223-230.	
Method — *Data Analysis*	10/3	Survey design / use, Qual sampling techniques	Dillman, 32-51;11;352-361 Warwick, 160-167		**[Hou]** Iivonen, Mirja and Marilyn D. White. (2001). The Choice of Initial Web Search Strategies: A Comparison Between Finnish and American Searchers. *Journal of Documentation* (57:4), 465-491.	
	10/10	Coding: Grounded Theory	Strauss, 57-142	Coding exercise	**[Dai]** Yang, Shu Ching (2000). Hypermedia Learning and Evaluation: a Qualitative Study of Learners' Interaction With the Perseus Project. *Computers in Human Behavior* (16), 451-472.	Project plan due
	10/17	Coding: GT continued Analysis: Content, protocol	Strauss, 143-179		**[Wang]** Straub, Detmar & James Wetherbe (1989) Information Technologies for the 1990s: An Organizational Impact Perspective. *Communications of the ACM* (32:11), 1328-1339.	
	10/24	Software support for qual analysis		Demos of nVivo, N6 and Ethnograph	**[Goodall]** Graham, Martin, et al. (2000) Towards a Methodology for Developing Visualizations. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* (53), 789-807.	Take home exam out

Practice	10/31	Discussion of Orr	Orr			Take home exam due
	11/7	Research Roundtable: *Dr. Wayne Lutters*	Selected research articles: [AMCIS01, CSCW02, ITP03]	Understanding the peer review system	**[Chen]** Woodfield, Ruth (2002). Woman and Information Systems Development. *Information Technology & People* (15:2), 119-138.	
	11/14	Research Roundtable: *Dr. Anita Komlodi*	Selected research articles: [ASIST02]	*Present:* Goodall, Chen, Adipat		Presentations
	11/21	Research Roundtable: *Dr. Carolyn Seaman*	Selected research articles: [ICSM02, TSE99]	*Present:* Wang, Hou		Presentations
	11/28	**No class** *(Thanksgiving)*				
	12/5	Writing up qualitative research & developing the critical eye	SC 224-248	*Present:* Stanziola, Dai, Umarji	**[Adipat]** Palen, Leysia & Marilyn Salzman (2002). Beyond the Handset: Designing for Wireless Communication Usability. Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (9:2) 125-151.	Presentations
	12/16	**No final exam**				Project paper due

Course Readings

Dillman, Donald A. (2000). *Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method* (2nd ed.), New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Fetterman, David M. (1998). *Ethnography* (2nd ed.), Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Krueger, Richard A. and Mary Anne Casey. (2000). *Focus Groups* (3rd ed.), Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Lee, Alan S. (1995). Reviewing a Manuscript for Publication. *Journal of Operations Management* (13:1), 87-92.

Lofland, John and Lyn H. Lofland. (1995). *Analyzing Social Settings: a Guide to Qualitative Observation and Analysis* (3rd ed.), Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishers

Morgan, David L. (1997). *Focus Groups as Qualitative Research*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Orlikowski, Wanda J. and Jack J. Baroudi. (1991). Studying Information Technology in Organizations: Research Approaches and Assumptions. *Information Systems Research* (2:1), 1-28.

Orr, Julian E. (1996). *Talking About Machines: an Ethnography of a Modern Job*, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Patton, Michael Q. (1990) *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods* (2nd edition). Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Strauss, Anselm L. and Corbin, Juliet. (1998). *Basics of Qualitative Research: Grounded Theory Procedures and Techniques* (2nd ed.), Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Warwick, Donald P. and Charles A. Lininger. (1975). *The Sample Survey: Theory and Practice.* New York: McGraw-Hill.